IN THE HIGH COURTOF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.18392 of of 2007
Date of decision: 6.12.2007

Gurmit Singh

....Petitioner.

vs.

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTI CE J.S.KHEHAR.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SHAM SUNDER.

Present: Mr.Sushil Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

--

J.S.KHEHAR,J. (Oral)

The petitioner is stated to have submitted an application dated 19.6.2007(Annexures P1) for grant of permits on Patiala-Manjouli via Bahadurgarh, Seel and Chapar routes.It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner, that the claim of the petitioner for grant of the aforesaid permit ought to have been considered by respondent No.2 i.e. the State Transport Commissioner,Punjab, specially on account of the fact that there is no notification under Section 71(3)(a) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, laying down specified numbers of permits for allotment to the route in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied if the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction

to respondent No.2 i.e. the State Transport Commissioner Punjab, requiring him to dispose of the aforesaid application dated 19.6.2007(Annexure P1).

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioner and without expressing any opinion thereon, we consider it just and appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. the State Transport Commissioner,Punjab, to take a final decision on the application submitted by the petitioner dated 19.6.2007(Annexures P1) by passing a well reasoned speaking order within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

Order Dasti on payment of usual charges.

(J.S.Khehar)
Judge

(Sham Sunder)
Judge

December 6, 2007
rk